



This project is funded by the European Union

FINAL NARRATIVE REPORT



RCT/Empathy

RCT/EMPATHY

2014 – 2016

SUMMARY: The aim of this project is to develop model system of multi-disciplinary rehabilitation for offenders; based on research outcomes to create draft strategy of medical and psycho –social rehabilitation of offenders in Georgia. During the year 2014 – 2016 total number of assisted offenders applied to the RCT/EMPATHY were: n = 265 persons. Among them in 120 cases the long term assistance were provided, in 49 cases crisis intervention provided and 10 cases were just consulted and referred to the specialized medical services, 66 were included in the waiting list, in 20 cases offenders were involved in the occupational therapy – art work in Art Studio. Multi – disciplinary assistance – long term rehabilitation programme includes: medical and psycho – social services and legal assistance, also the art therapy and occupational training on clay modeling includes in the services. Medical intervention includes free of charge comprehensive diagnostic program, with free of charge pharmacology assistance and referrals program for diagnostic and treatment, in separate cases (n = 7) surgery operations also were conducted. According to the rehabilitation program the capacity of the target beneficiaries increased that improved ability of re – socialization. In take assessment and follow up using the Quality of Life Questionnaire reveals positive changes in adaptation, involvement in social activities, and improvement of interpersonal relations in community and in family, reduced unemployment, improvement of communication skills and reduced repeated criminal records. The project aims also strengthening of capacity of State agencies MoJ Crime Prevention Centre and also NGOs in medical and human rights standards of rehabilitation of vulnerable peoples, with special attention on the documentation of torture and prevention of secondary traumatization. During the implementing period 68 persons trained and received certificates on medical and legal aspects of torture documentation and prevention, as well as principles of multi – disciplinary individually oriented rehabilitation. The statistical analysis and data collection were provided using the SPSS program. In 169 cases the data collection and analysis provided using the specially elaborated multi profile questionnaire for offenders, as well as psychological tests' outcomes were analyzed during in – take and follow up assessment.

**The Program of
Multi –
disciplinary
Rehabilitation for
Offenders in
Georgia**

ENPI/2013/319-803

All Rights Reserved

Tbilisi 2016 |

ANNEX VI FINAL NARRATIVE REPORT

RCT/EMPATHY 2014 -2016

The Program of Multi – disciplinary Rehabilitation for Offenders in Georgia

Table of contents:

<i>1. Description</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>2. Assessment of implementation of Action activities.....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>3. Beneficiaries/affiliated entities and other Cooperation.....</i>	<i>51</i>

List of acronyms used in the report

RCT/EMPATHY – The Rehabilitation Centre for Victims of Torture “EMPATHY”

CVT – Centre for Victims of Torture (USA)

CI – Crisis Intervention Department

FD – Forensic Department

LD – Legal Department

TRD – Treatment and Rehabilitation Department

TBC – Tuberculosis

HIV - Hepatitis C Virus

Tn – Total Number

E – Average

F – Frequency

LEPL MoJ – Ministry of Justice, Crime Prevention Centre

MoH – Ministry of labour, Health and Social Welfare

MoC – Ministry of Corrections

NPM – National Preventive Mechanism

IP – Istanbul Protocol – UN Manual on Effective Investigation and Documentation of Torture and other Cruel Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

PTSD – Post – traumatic Stress Disorder

ICD – 10 – International Classification of Diseases (10 th revision)

SPSS – Statistical programme for research analysis

1. Description

- 1.1. Name of Coordinator of the grant contract: Mariam Jishkariani
- 1.2. Name and title of the Contact person: Mariam Jishkariani
- 1.3. Name of Beneficiary(ies) and affiliated entity(ies) in the Action: The International Psycho - Rehabilitation Centre for Victims of Torture, Violence and Pronounced Stress Impact “EMPATHY” (RCT/EMPATHY, Georgia); Associated partner: LEPL Center for Crime Prevention and Innovative Programs (under Ministry of Justice of Georgia)
- 1.4. Title of the Action: The Program of Multi – disciplinary Rehabilitation for Offenders in Georgia
- 1.5. Contract number: ENPI/2013/319-803
- 1.6. Start date and end date of the reporting period: From February 1, 2014 till February 1, 2016
- 1.7. Target country(ies) or region(s): *Georgia: Tbilisi, and surrounding areas: Mtskheta, Rustavi, nearest villages and Towns, including Women Colony/Prison, Kutaisi, Zugdidi, Batumi – Prison Institutions*
- 1.8. Final beneficiaries &/or target groups¹ (if different) (including numbers of women and men): Target beneficiaries for this action were former prisoners: Main beneficiaries were former prisoners, especially with experience of torture, ill treatment and inadequate medical care in penal system of Georgia – Tn = 265 persons. Among them in 120 cases the long term assistance were provided, in 49 cases crisis intervention provided and 10 cases were just consulted and referred to the specialized medical services, 20 persons included in the art occupational therapy and work and 66 were included in the waiting list. Among total 265 persons n = 178 - 67 % of 265 were men, 2 – about 2 % were juveniles under 17 years and 87 – 33% were females. Among direct target group the statistical analysis were provided by the SPSS: t n were 189.


1. Gender					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	male	178	67.2	67.2	67.2
	female	87	32.8	32.8	100.0
	Total	265	100.0	100.0	

Average age were: E = 44 and standard deviation sd = 12.

¹ “Target groups” are the groups/entities who will be directly positively affected by the project at the Project Purpose level, and “final beneficiaries” are those who will benefit from the project in the long term at the level of the society or sector at large.

Total number for training program: t n = 43 professionals trained. 9 men and 34 women in year 2015 and in year 2016 tn for training programme were n = 25 medical and legal professionals, among them 6 men and 19 women.

3.1. Age Group



- 1.9. Country(ies) in which the activities take place (if different from 1.7): Georgia, including Tbilisi, Mtskheta and Rutavi, as well as beneficiaries from the regions, especially Batumi and Gori.

2. Assessment of implementation of Action activities

2.1. Executive summary of the Action

Please give a global overview of the Action's implementation for the reporting period (no more than ½ page)

Overall objective of the action is to promote restorative justice and contribute to rehabilitation and re-socialization of inmates, former inmates, probationers and children in conflict with the law.


Based on listed below specific results and outputs it is clear that capacity of main target groups prisoners and former prisoners will increased in all levels: at the micro level their abilities of re – socialization increased through individually oriented client centred rehabilitation program; at the mezzo level: the model program of the multi type rehabilitation developed that through implementation in the State Strategy of Rehabilitation and Re – Socialization of Offenders in Georgia will be operational and tangible; at the macro level the policy and strategy of re – socialization of

offenders developed and will be published and presented during the second year of the project and will be ready for implementation;

Finally the technical and managerial capacity of the beneficiaries: EMPATHY and associated partner the MoJ Crime Prevention Centre, as well as other CSO and state agencies involved in the implementation of the action w increased through implementation of study program and training program on specific issues of multi – type rehabilitation, torture prevention and documentation.

Specific objective(s) are: 1) Capacity building of multi-disciplinary rehabilitation services for former prisoners and prisoners with close collaboration with Ministry of Justice Crime Prevention Centre; 2) To elaborate research – based strategy of crime prevention in Georgia.

Indicators for Objective 1: Indicator 1: Empathy services with MDT approach and with involvement of MoJ LEPL and other associates and sub-contractors. Outputs of the created system of multi – disciplinary services:



Sub-Contractors: About 24 Health Centres: Diagnostic and Inpatient treatment (Hospitals), as well as Forensic medical Expertise Centre and Pharmacology Firms.

Total Number Staff of the Project 12 Persons; Involved more than 100 doctors and legal experts.

Services

- Medical
- Psychiatric
- Psychotherapy (Individual, Group and Family)
- Art Therapy and art work
- Educational therapy
- Physiotherapy, Laser therapy and Acupuncture
- Counselling
- Community visits
- Referrals
- Financial assistance (support for travel for outpatient type treatment)
- Social assistance
- Family – based treatment
- Psychological
- Legal Assistance and Client advocacy
- Centre is providing independent forensic expertise, medical and psychiatric/psychological, in accordance with Istanbul Protocol.
- “Hot Line” – for immediate response and crisis intervention.
- Training – educational and research programme□

Indicator two: Conducted training sessions and trained professionals:

2014

e3h5_Final report_en RCT Empathy Issued in 2016
RCT/EMPATHY 2016

Page 4 of 56

All Rights Reserved,

During the live period of the action the professional capacity of the target beneficiaries from MoJ Crime Prevention Centre as well as from other NGOs working on re – socialization of offenders increased through the small scale in house training sessions provided at the RCT/EMPATHY and training programme hold at the MoJ training Centre. Total number of beneficiaries for training programme were 43 persons, among them 34 women and 9 men; among them for MoJ Crime Prevention Centre were 25 persons – social workers and psychologists and 5 persons were from NGOs operating on re – socialization of the offenders in Batumi and Gori region, other were students of medical university and other volunteers. In year 2016 additional 25 persons working with offenders, health and legal professionals were trained on the Istanbul Protocol, as well as on issues of holistic approaches toward to the stress – related disorders. Experts for training /educational program were invited from Ministry of Corrections Training Centre; from the TSMU Forensic Medical Department, from the RCT/ENPATHY and WPA Scientific Section “Torture Psychological Outcomes and Consequences”, as well as from CVT (USA).

Indicators for Objective 2: The overall study program of outcomes of first year results were provided using the SPSS statistical data collecting and analysis method. The qualitative and quantitative analysis provided at the RCT/EMPATHY will be background for elaboration of draft of State Strategy of Re – socialization of offenders that published in the end of the project. In elaboration of this strategy MoJ Crime Prevention Centre social workers were involved by the focus group discussions around the individual cases, as well as on needs assessment. For research project the special questionnaire for offenders, namely former prisoners were elaborated by the Empathy and then included in the SPSS statistical program for data collection and analysis. The research program was done on n = 189 cases.

2.2. Results and Activities

What is your assessment of the results of the Action so far? Include observations on the performance and the achievement of outputs, outcomes and impact in relation to specific and overall objectives, and whether the Action has had any unforeseen positive or negative results.

Following Annex 1, please list **all** the results with progress of the related indicators and all the related activities implemented during the reporting period

R1 – "Title of result 1": *The model program of MDT rehabilitation for prisoners and former prisoners will be developed that could be incorporated in Crime Prevention State strategy.*

<quantify the achievement of each result from the beginning of the action and explain any changes, especially any underperformance; refer to the indicators and assumptions in the Logframe>:


1.1 "Indicator 1": target value (R1)

During the implementation period the multi – disciplinary rehabilitation model for offenders developed with several components, including medical and psycho – social assistance, legal services and occupational art therapy services for offenders. The system of multi – focused rehabilitation exists at the RCT/EMPATHY and is operational.

As mentioned above n of beneficiaries applied for the rehabilitation mainly were former prisoners and t n = 265. Among them in 49 cases persons received crisis intervention support, in 10 cases clients referred to the specialized medical services, such is TB clinic in 2 cases, in 3 cases to the psychiatric hospital on inpatient treatment and also in 3 cases were

given recommendation directly to the narcology in – patient treatment centres, in two cases the assistance was rejected by the client.

The model multi – disciplinary rehabilitation system includes medical and psycho – social rehabilitation services, as well as legal assistance and art therapy – occupational training program.



For the achievement of the Result 1 that is related to the Multi-Disciplinary Rehabilitation and Re – socialization model system for offenders following planned activities implemented:

A1.1 "Title of the activity: Multi type rehabilitation and crisis intervention - especially in Women and Juvenile's Prison, program with medical, psycho (mental/psychological) – social (including art therapy and work, other selected social skill based training program) and legal services for direct target group; Total number of offenders and their family members 160 during two years.

Topics/activities covered:

This activity especially will be focused on implementation of rights of offenders on healthcare and rehabilitation and will include following specific activities, but not limited:

- To include in the long term multi-disciplinary rehabilitation program newly registered in the waiting list of the RCT/EMPATHY offenders sent from the MoJ Crime Prevention Centre for the rehabilitation
- To identify former prisoners additional beneficiaries, and pre – select for the rehabilitation programme
- To provide multi – disciplinary rehabilitation including following services:
 - Medical assistance, including clinical evaluation by several specialities doctors, including psychiatrists
 - Pharmacology treatment
 - Massage, acupuncture
 - Referrals programme

- Psychological treatment and consultation
- Art Therapy and work in the Art Studio of the RCT/EMPATHY (Clay Modelling)
- Social environmental support, including counselling for family members and client advocacy
- Community based consultations
- Travel fees for client over 20 KM
- Lunches in art studio and in office during more than 3 hours sessions
- Re - evaluation for measurement of effectiveness of services and outcome

This activity was implemented directly by the RCT/EMPATHY with external experts and sub-contractor healthcare centres, as well as the clients referred to the EMPATHY from the MoJ Crime Prevention Centre and finally all work was coordinated with MoJ Crime Prevention Centre Social Workers. The representative of the CVT (USA) – associated partner – locally based psychotherapist also was involved in the supervision of the local specialists.

A1.2 – Title of activity 2"Specific Activity 1.2. – Legal and Forensic Assistance

Specific activities includes, but not limited:

- Registration of applications for forensic evaluation and reporting of the cases
- Reviewing of submitted to the RCT/EMPATHY legal and medical documentation case by case
- Selection of group of experts for case evaluation and reporting
- Issuing the medical/mental/psychological reports according to the Istanbul Protocol guidelines
- Submitting the reports to defence lawyers or/and Public Defender or/and to the Prosecutor's office and to the other requested persons or bodies involved in the investigation procedures.
- Legal assistance provided at all levels: National and European Court of Human Rights
- Legal consultations for clients' attorneys, relatives, to the clients, human rights defenders

This activity also was implemented by the RCT/EMPATHY's experts and lawyers, in the implementation of this activity wide range of clients' lawyers, NGOs, associated partner: LEPL, Public Defender's representatives etc were involved as well; in case of requirement the EMPATHY's contractor Independent Forensic Centre "Vektori" was involved in the specific forensic evaluation.


Specific outputs and indicators of effectiveness:

The special questionnaire were elaborated for data collection and analysis by using the SPSS program. According to the analysis following data were resulted:

As it was mentioned above direct target group that received assistance at the RCT/EMPATHY were 265 clients with their family members or/and attorneys. Among them 189 persons benefited from the project and other n = 66 included in the waiting list due to the time limitation of the life period of the project.

4.Gender total 189					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	male	116	61.4	61.4	61.4
	female	73	38.6	38.6	100.0
	Total	189	100.0	100.0	

4.Gender



2.How to apply to the Empathy Total 265					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Lawyers and family members	2	.8	.8	.8
	applied by the MOJ Crime prevention centre	170	64.2	64.2	64.9
	public defenders request	1	.4	.4	65.3


Self-helping group activities (self-apply)	92	34.7	34.7	100.0
Total	265	100.0	100.0	

As it is mentioned in Table 2 clients applied from the associated partner Crime Prevention Centre of the MoJ were 64 % - 170 of tn 265. That shows close networking with this agency.

Only in one case the Public Defender of Georgia applied to the Empathy for the specific intervention that shows passive role of this agency in protection of prisoners' rights. About 35 % of beneficiaries were from self – supporting groups and 1 % applied through lawyers and relatives that shows high level of trust and recognition of Empathy's services among direct target groups.

In the same time should be mentioned that intervention inside of prison system of Georgia were limited due to the inadequate collaboration by the side of the MoC of Georgia. That will be described below in more detail.

2.How to apply to the Empathy



In – take characteristics of the target beneficiaries:

38. Physical and psychological methods/Torture and Ill – Treatment History during last imprisonment					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	91	53.8	53.8	53.8
	NO	78	46.2	46.2	100.0

	Total	169	100.0	100.0	
--	-------	-----	-------	-------	--

Observation revealed high level of psychological torture experience among direct target group: 91 persons of 169 declared regarding psychological and psychological torture and ill treatment during last imprisonment – about 54 %.

39.Only psychological methods/Torture and Ill – Treatment History during last imprisonment					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	43	25.4	25.4	25.4
	NO	126	74.6	74.6	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

According to the Table 39. Only psychological methods observed in 43 cases that means that about 26 % of beneficiaries declared only psychological ill treatment.

40.Diagnosed somatic illness					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	48	28.4	28.4	28.4
	no	121	71.6	71.6	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

40.1.Infection disorders					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	17	10.1	10.1	10.1
	no	152	89.9	89.9	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

40.2 TB					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	9	5.3	5.3	5.3
	no	160	94.7	94.7	100.0

	Total	169	100.0	100.0	
--	-------	-----	-------	-------	--

40.3.HIV					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	no	169	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

40.4. Hepatitis(C,B)					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	20	11.8	11.8	11.8
	no	149	88.2	88.2	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

40.7. Sken problems					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	no	167	98.8	98.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

40.9 Surgery operations					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	6	3.6	3.6	3.6
	NO	163	96.4	96.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

Tables 40 – 40.9. Shows that the real somatic disorders were not diagnosed in penal system of Georgia and also tables below shows that medical treatment provided in penal system of Georgia was not satisfactory that was documented during the medical assessment program provided at the Empathy.

40.10. Physical traumas					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	89	52.7	52.7	52.7
	NO	80	47.3	47.3	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

40.11. Head injuries					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	87	51.5	51.5	51.5
	NO	82	48.5	48.5	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

Tables 40.10. and 40.11. Shows that around 53 % of beneficiaries had experience of trauma, among them head trauma during the last imprisonment that's correlated to the physical torture outcomes shown above.

41. Mental/psychological problems					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	122	72.2	72.2	72.2
	NO	47	27.8	27.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

41.1. Sleep problems					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	121	71.6	71.6	71.6
	NO	48	28.4	28.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

41.2. Neurotic problems					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Valid	YES	79	46.7	46.7	46.7
	NO	90	53.3	53.3	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

41.3. Self – harm reactions					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	15	8.9	8.9	8.9
	NO	154	91.1	91.1	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

41.4. Suicide attempts					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	10	5.9	5.9	5.9
	NO	159	94.1	94.1	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

41.5. Psychotic disorders					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	6	3.6	3.6	3.6
	NO	163	96.4	96.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

41.6. Conflict and aggressive reactions with prison inhabitants					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	8	4.7	4.7	4.7
	NO	161	95.3	95.3	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

41.7. Drug dependence					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	89	53	53	53

	NO	80	47	47	100
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

Tables above related to the mental and psychological problems revealed high level of needs in adequate mental health services in penal system and after release as well. Especially should be noted that problems of overusing of psychotropic drug in penal system of Georgia were very widespread that is shown in Table 41.7.

42. Provided treatment					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	58	34.3	34.3	34.3
	no	111	65.7	65.7	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

42.1. Adequate / inadequate					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no	112	66.3	66.3	66.3
	Adequate	5	3.0	3.0	69.2
	inadequate	52	30.8	30.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

Tables 42. and 42.1. Shows that only 58 persons about 34 % declared that the treatment in penal system was provided, but only 5 persons declared that this treatment was adequate.

43. Involvement in any kinds of social activities or/and rehabilitation programs during last imprisonment					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	3	1.8	1.8	1.8
	NO	166	98.2	98.2	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

According to the Table 43 only 3 persons declared that they were involved in the rehabilitation activities in penal system.

According to this data we can conclude that the adequate medical and psycho – social rehabilitation program in penal system of Georgia doesn't exist and should be elaborated and developed.

Assistance Provided at the RCT/EMPATHY:

Multi-Disciplinary Program activities	N of clients	Indicators
6.1.Long Term Rehabilitation (includes medical and mental/psychological assistance)	120	LT Medical Files
6.2.Crisis Intervention	49	CI files
6.3.Forensic evaluation, among them 4 cases in Kutaisi Prison	9	Forensic Evaluation Reports
6.4.Art therapy /Occupational Therapy	35	Art therapy Sessions Files and registration Journals
6.5.Short term legal assistance	86	Legal Consultations included in Medical Files
6.6.Long term legal assistance	26	Appeals to the Domestic Investigator Bodies
6.7.request for treatment was rejected due to following reason: referred to other services due to the following reasons: a) Infection Disorder, b) Dependence problem, psychosis, c) other circumstances (please describe)	10	Firs Time identification Forms
7.referrals program /Diagnostic measures provided	169	Referrals Forms
7.1.laboratory methods	137	Documentation Received from Sub Contractor Clinics
7.2.Instrumentary	150	Documentation Received from Sub Contractor Clinics
7.3.Paid clinical consultations	149	Documentation Received from Sub Contractor Clinics
7.1.1.staff intervention	265	Documentation Existed at the RCT/EMPATHY
7.1.2.Medical consultation	169	Medical Consultations included in the LT Medical Files

7.1.3.Psychological evaluation	147	Psychological testing and re - evaluation reports
7.1.4.Social workers support	169	Social Workers Forms
8.1.Outpatient type /Treatment and Rehabilitation	169	94 provided at the RCT/EMPATHY and 6 Crisis Intervention in Other clinics
8.2.Outpatient type medical somatic	131	Included in Medical Files
8.3.Outpatient type medical neurological	144	Included in Medical Files
8.4.Outpatient type medical psychiatry	114	Included in Medical Files
8.5.Other referred medical consultations	137	Included in Medical Files
8.6.Psychotherapy	120	Filled Psychotherapy Forms
8.7.laser therapy and acupuncture	47	Sessions completed
8.8.Pharmacology treatment: somatic, neurological	137	Registration Journals and Signed by the clients Prescription/Rehabilitation Forms
8.9.Psycho – pharmacology treatment	114	Registration Journals and Signed by the clients Prescription/Rehabilitation Forms
8.10.In patient treatment/Surgery Operation	12	Medical Forms N 100/a
8.11. Lunch service and travel fees received, clients from the regions	59	Special forms filled

Outcomes of Medical and Psychological diagnostic program at the RCT/EMPATHY

The diagnostic was done according to the medical and psycho – social history of the client, as well as needed clinical and para – clinical diagnostic measures, among them high expensive para – clinical examination methods, such as MRI and MRT examination were used. The Istanbul Protocol principles also were used for documenting of the cases with experience of torture and ill – treatment.

* Somatic Diagnoses during intake assessment					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	145	85.8	85.8	85.8
	NO	24	14.2	14.2	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.(A00-A99/B00-B99)Certain infectious and parasitic diseases					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	43	25.44	25.44	25.4
	NO	126	74.56	74.56	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

As mentioned in the table 1. Infectious diseases were diagnosed in 43 cases around 25 % of total n 169.

1.1 (A15-A19)TBC					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	5	3.0	3.0	3.0
	NO	164	97.0	97.0	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.2(B17.1/ B18.2)HCV					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	29	17.2	17.2	17.2
	NO	140	82.8	82.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.5(A00-B99 (Excluding 1.1-1.4 codes) Other					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	9	5.3	5.3	5.3
	NO	160	94.7	94.7	100.0

	Total	169	100.0	100.0	
--	-------	-----	-------	-------	--

Among infectious disorders in 29 cases the HIV infection was diagnosed and clients were recommended to the Hepatitis C State program, but should be mentioned that those clients have not relevant expenses for co – funding of this treatment.

2 (D50-D89)Blood and blood-forming organs and immune diseases					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	16	9.5	9.5	9.5
	NO	153	90.5	90.5	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

Those diagnoses mainly was related to the anaemia that reason was in most of cases other diagnosis or/and not sufficient food.

3 (E00-E90) Endocrine System					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	30	17.8	17.8	17.8
	NO	139	82.2	82.2	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

The endocrine system pathology was related mainly with Diabetes and thyroid gland's pathology.

4 (H00-H59)Diseases of the eye and adnexa					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	58	34.3	34.3	34.3
	NO	111	65.7	65.7	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

In 34 % of cases the ophthalmology problems were revealed and symptomatology treatment and relevant glasses were provided to the clients. In one case the surgery operation was done.

5 (I00-I99;)Circulatory system diseases					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	89	52.7	52.7	52.7
	NO	80	47.3	47.3	100.0

	Total	169	100.0	100.0	
--	-------	-----	-------	-------	--

In most of cases, about 52 % of 169 the circulatory system diseases were revealed that mainly was related to the high blood pressure and high level of cholestenone.

6 (J00-J99)Respiratory system diseases;					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	25	14.8	14.8	14.8
	NO	144	85.2	85.2	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	
7 (K00-K93)Digestive System Diseases					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	59	34.9	34.9	34.9
	NO	110	65.1	65.1	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

The digestives system disorders mainly were related to the inadequate food and absence of diet in penal system of Georgia and lack of health food regime after release as well.

8 (M00-M99)Bone - muscular system Diseases					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	88	52.1	52.1	52.1
	NO	81	47.9	47.9	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

High level (more than 52 %) of osseo – muscular system disorders correlates with level of physical torture and inadequate living conditions, also lack of re – socialization activities in penal system of Georgia.

9 (L00-L99)Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders					
--	--	--	--	--	--

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	9	5.3	5.3	5.3
	NO	160	94.7	94.7	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

10 (N00-N99)Urinary - genital system					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	42	24.9	24.9	24.9
	NO	127	75.1	75.1	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

Those urinary – genital problems were related in high probability with lack of sanitary – hygienic norms in penal system, as well as lack of active health life in penal system and after release as well.

11 (C00-D48)Oncology Disorders					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	NO	167	98.8	98.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

12 (H60-H95)Diseases of the ear and mastoid process					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	21	12.4	12.4	12.4
	NO	148	87.6	87.6	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

Those 21 cases with problems of otto – laryngology system mostly observed among former prisoners with experience of beating in head area, especially using the special method of torture - “Telephone”

* Diagnose Nervous system diseases and Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	83	49.1	50.3	50.3
	NO	82	48.5	49.7	100.0

	Total	165	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	4	2.4		
Total		169	100.0		

Central Nervous System diseases					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	16	9.5	9.5	9.5
	NO	153	90.5	90.5	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.Inflammatory diseases of the central nervous system (G00-G09)					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	7	4.1	4.1	4.1
	NO	162	95.9	95.9	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.1 (G 40.1)Localization-related (focal)(partial) symptomatic epilepsy and epileptic syndromes with simple partial seizures					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.3 (G40.3)Generalized idiopathic epilepsy and epileptic syndromes					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	NO	167	98.8	98.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.4 (G47.0)Disorders of initiating and maintaining sleep (insomnias)					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6

	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.5 (G31.2)Degeneration of nervous system due to alcohol					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	4	2.4	2.4	2.4
	NO	165	97.6	97.6	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.6 (G92.0)Toxic Encephalopathy					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	NO	167	98.8	98.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.9 (G20.0)Parkinson's disease					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.11.(G24.8)Other dystonia					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	NO	167	98.8	98.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

2 Peripheral Nervous System diseases					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	10	5.9	5.9	5.9
	NO	159	94.1	94.1	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

2.1 (G50.0)Trigeminal neuralgia					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

2.3 (G63.2*)Diabetic Polyneuropathy					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	NO	167	98.8	98.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

2.3.1 (G63.0) TB polyneuropathy					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

2.4 (G62.1) Alcoholic polyneuropathy					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	NO	167	98.8	98.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

2.5 (G56.1) Other lesions of median nerve					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

2.6 (G58.0) Intercostal neuropathy					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

2.7 (G57.3) Lesion of lateral popliteal nerve					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

2.8 (G61.9) Inflammatory polyneuropathy, unspecified					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3 Other					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	28	16.6	16.6	16.6
	no	141	83.4	83.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.1 (G90.8)Other disorders of autonomic nervous system					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	8	4.7	4.7	4.7
	NO	161	95.3	95.3	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.2 (G43)Migraine					
--------------------	--	--	--	--	--

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.3 (G44.3) post-traumatic headaich					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	10	5.9	5.9	5.9
	NO	159	94.1	94.1	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.3.1. (G44.1)Vascular headache					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.4 (G44.8) Other specified headache syndromes					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.5 (G46.7*) Other lacunar syndromes					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.6 (T97)Sequelae of toxic effects of substances chiefly nonmedicinal as to source					
--	--	--	--	--	--

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	8	4.7	4.7	4.7
	NO	161	95.3	95.3	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.6.1 G99.2* Myelopathy in diseases classified elsewhere					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.6.2. G63.2* Diabetic polyneuropathy					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.6.2.2. (G82.1) Spastic paraplegia					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3.6.2.3.(G40.3) Generalized idiopathic epilepsy and epileptic syndromes					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

4 (S00-T98) Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes					
---	--	--	--	--	--

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	69	40.8	40.8	40.8
	NO	100	59.2	59.2	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

4.1 (S06.0) Concussion					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

4.2 (T90.5) Sequelae of head injury, posttraumatic encephalopathy					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	68	40.2	40.2	40.2
	NO	101	59.8	59.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

4.4 (S22.4) Multiple fractures of ribs					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

The tables related with ICD codes G and S shows high level of traumatization and consequences of trauma, especially head injuries among former prisoners that consequently causes decreased ability of the psycho – physical activities and behavioural and mental health problems that also were cross – related with other somatic and mental health problems as well.

Total number of somatic and neurological diagnoses revealed were $t n = 349$, among them Diagnose **Nervous system diseases and Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes were 69 of $t n 169$ (41 %).** That also shows high level of head traumas among target beneficiaries.

Frequency of somatic and neurological diagnoses per persons is $1019/169 = 6.02$ that means that one person had about 6 different diagnoses. This outcome shows that process of treatment and rehabilitation was very difficult and needed the comprehensive multi-disciplinary interventions.

Mental and Psychological Problems Revealed:

*(F00-F99) By main categories (according to the ICD - 10, chapters F and Z) Disorders					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	151	89.4	89.4	81.7
	NO	18	10.6	10.6	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	100.0

According to this table in 151 cases the mental health problems revealed that is about 89 % of total 169 persons diagnosed, in 4 cases clients were sent to the other clinics for specialized drug addictions services.

1 (F43.0)ASD					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

2 (F43.1)PTSD					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	24	14.2	14.2	14.2
	NO	145	85.8	85.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

3 (F43.1-F48)PTSD with other neurotic disorders					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	18	10.7	10.7	10.7
	NO	151	89.3	89.3	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

4 (F40 – F48)Adjustment, stress related and neurotic disorders excluding (F43.0-F43.1)					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	14	8.3	8.3	8.3
	NO	155	91.7	91.7	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

5 (F60 - F69)Personality Disorders					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	4	2.4	2.4	2.4
	NO	165	97.6	97.6	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

6 (F60 - F69; F43.1)Personality Disorder with PTSD					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

9 (F30-F39; F43.1)Mood Disorders with PTSD					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

10 (F20-F29; F30.2; F31.2; F31.5; F32.2; F33.3; F06.0; F06.1; F06.2; F06.5; F05; F44.0 - F44.3)Psychosis					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	NO	167	98.8	98.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

11 (F20-F29; F30.2; F31.2; F31.5; F32.2; F33.3; F06.0; F06.1; F06.2; F06.5; F05; F44.0 - F44.3 F43.1)Psychosis with PTSD					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

12 (F70-F79)Mental Retardation					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	2	1.2	1.2	1.2
	NO	167	98.8	98.8	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

14 (F00 - F09)Organic Disorders (Includes Organic Personality Disorders)					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	14	8.3	8.3	8.3
	NO	155	91.7	91.7	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

15 (F00 - F09; F43.1)Organic Disorders with PTSD					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	64	37.9	37.9	37.9
	NO	105	62.1	62.1	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

16.1. (Z65.2) Problems related to release from prison					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

18 Behavioral and emotional disorders with onset usually occurring in childhood and adolescence F90-F98 with PTSD (F43.1)					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

19.(F54) Psychological and behavioral factors associated with disorders or diseases classified elsewhere					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

20.(F51)Insomnia not due to a substance or known physiological condition					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	YES	1	.6	.6	.6
	NO	168	99.4	99.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

1.17.Drug Addiction problems (F10-F19)					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	yes	1	.6	1.3	1.3
	no	168	99.4	98.7	100.0

	Total	169.	100.0	100.0	
Total		169	100.0		

Break down by main categories shows that leading diagnoses were the PTSD with neurotic or organic disorders that also presents evidences of torture and ill – treatment experience.

Activity 1.3. - Participation in crisis intervention and psychological consulting missions for needs assessment and assistance of inmates – prisoners in Women and Juvenile Facilities, especially with experience of life stress events and in pre – release condition.

Specific activities will include, but not limited:

Participation of the RCT/EMPATHY's experts in fact finding missions in the penal system of Georgia, e.g. NPM (5 experts are members of the new NPM selected in 2013) in planed and ad hoc missions.

Visits in Prison institutions, including West Georgia for pre – selection of especially vulnerable categories of inmates – women and juvenile for crisis intervention or/and legal assistance, 1 visits per week in Tbilisi – Juvenile Colony and 1 visit per week in Rustavi Women Colony, and mission travel in West Georgia Kutaisi Prison (12 days per year 4 experts).

Pre – selection of prisoners with disabilities, especially with mental disabilities and transfer cases to the forensic unit for furthermore medical evaluation and legal assistance

Needs assessment, especially for prisoners in pre – release condition - Analyse of collected data, preparation of Case report and statistical reports with recommendations

This activity directly promotes access of inmates to the right of investigation, documentation and rehabilitation, increases awareness of direct target groups in their rights and in possibilities to improve quality of life and re – socialization skills through multi profile rehabilitation services.

It should be mentioned that those specific activity was not implemented in systematic manner, because of following reasons that are described below.

Reason for any changes in the planned activity <please explain any problems (e.g. delay, cancellation, postponement of activities) which have arisen and how they have been addressed> (if applicable):

This activity was post phoned, because of the relevant memorandum with Ministry of Corrections was nor signed. The draft of memorandum presented by the MoC should be signed by the Prison's Department, also coordination should be prepared by this department that according to the standards of medical ethics is violation of confidentiality. By this reason the RCT/EMPATHY requested to make changes in memorandum and be in professional collaboration only with medical and health professionals. Also was given recommendation directly to the MoC to make changes in structure of the medical and psycho – social services that means that reform should apply to the medical and psycho – social services in penal system that should be civilian services.

During the implementation period problems revealed in collaboration with Public Defender and NPM. Due to the politically non – independency of Public Defender and NPM of Georgia it was clear that

NPM activities was not transparent and objective and by those reasons the RCT/EMPATHY's 6 experts and additional two other experts left NPM as a protest reaction in the end of 2014.

Please list any risks that might have jeopardised the realisation of some activities and explain how they have been tackled.

Risks relates to the implementation of the project in penal system, namely related to the monitoring of penal institutions.

In this circumstances the RCT/EMPATHY prefer be independent and objective and use the legal frameworks especially related to the access to the lawyer and alternative medical examination that gives limited possibilities to implement project in penal system, and in same time reveals exiting problems in this system, such as no any alternatives for prison monitoring, non – transparent penal system, lack of reforms, especially related to the civilian medical and psycho – social services.

R2 – Title of result 2'': The interaction between professional CSOs, including experts and LEPL - Center for Crime Prevention and Innovative Programs of MoJ developed for elaboration and implementation of policy and strategy toward to the prisoners and former prisoners;

Indicator 1 for R2: Jointly developed State Strategy of Rehabilitation of offenders of Georgia

Target Value:

Source 1 for Indicator 1 on R 2 – The study program with statistical analysis conducted and state strategy elaborated jointly with participation CPC that the strategy of the Empathy included in their service programme and then it was included in the competition enounced in May 2016 for the service providers by the MoJ.

Source 2 for Indicator 1 for R2: Conducted in – house trainings, joint actions for the field reform and improvement of legal framework: It should be mentioned the RCT/EMPATHY has close collaboration with MoJ Crime Prevention Centre for elaboration of State strategy;

A2.1 – "Title of activity 1" (R2): For achievement of this result the Activity 1 with specific activities was used: Multi type rehabilitation and crisis intervention - especially in Women and Juvenile's Prison, program with medical, psycho (mental/psychological) – social (including art therapy and work, other selected social skill based training program) and legal services for direct target group; - Relates to R1, 2, 5.

Especially should be mentioned that as it was shown in table above: How to apply to the EMPATHY in 64 % of cases the rehabilitation provided for former prisoners referred to the Empathy from the MoJ Crime Prevention Centre. It should be mentioned as well that 12 in house workshops for assessment of cases and follow up was provided at the Empathy with participation of social workers and psychologists of the MoJ Crime Prevention Centre. Also Empathy's experts participated in the meetings hold in MoJ Crime Prevention Centre that aim was to elaborate re – socialization strategy. During the meetings the "brain storming" method also was used for revealing the gaps in the re – socialization and rehabilitation of offenders and also strategy for improvement was developed. The special questionnaire for the study program was elaborated in close collaboration with MoJ CPC.

R 3: Capacity building of EMPATHY and MoJ Crime Prevention Centre, also other involved in the action stakeholders strengthened through skill based training program;

Indicator 1 for R3: 35 trained professionals

Indicator 2 for R3: Follow up observations' outcomes

Target values:

Source 1 for indicator 1 of R3 – Training materials – pre and post training evaluation questionnaires filled

Activity (2) for achievement of R2 and 3: training programme for relevant State agencies on issue of multi type rehabilitation, torture documentation and prevention; Prevention of Secondary Traumatization, total number of target group for training program was 35 persons.

Specific activities included, but not limited:

Elaboration of training program and agenda for specific target group

Elaboration of modules for training

Selection of cases for role play

Selection and preparation of training materials

Selection international and national experts for training programme

Selection of participants from MoJ LEPL, Prison System, relevant CSO representatives, social workers, psychologists, mental health workers, doctors etc

Identification of training venue

Holding three days training for 43 participants

Establish furthermore communication with training participants and provide follow – up meetings and evaluation on training outcomes.

This activity was implemented by the RCT/EMPATHY and invited experts with support of the associated partners LEPL and CVT.

The training program includes medical and legal modules of the Istanbul Protocol, as well as modules on outcomes of torture and rehabilitation, Prevention of “Vicarious Trauma” and other international standards of prevention and documentation of torture. This training program also focused on presentation of models of re – socialization of prisoners and former prisoners in EU countries and principles of multi type re – socialization of offenders.

Outputs of the activity 2: Three days Training – Workshop – The Istanbul Protocol, Outcomes of Torture and Imprisonment and Basic Principles of Holistic Rehabilitation was held on November 15 – 17, 2014; Venue: Training Centre of the Ministry of Justice of Georgia, 3 (a) Sandro Euli Str., Tbilisi, Georgia.

Total number of beneficiaries for training programme were 43 persons, among them 34 women and 9 men; among them for MoJ Crime Prevention Centre were 25 persons – social workers and psychologists and 5 persons were from NGOs operating on re – socialization of the offenders in Batumi and Gori region, other were students of medical university and other volunteers. Experts for training /educational program were invited from Ministry of Corrections Training Centre; from the TSMU Forensic Medical Department, from the RCT/ENPATHY and WPA Scientific Section “Torture Psychological Outcomes and Consequences”, as well as from CVT (USA). Training program attached to this report.

Finally, 25 participants – medical professionals and legal experts from Empathy and volunteers trained on holistic approaches for rehabilitation, the Istanbul Protocol and prevention of vicarious trauma during the World Psychiatric Association International Conference Hold in Tbilisi in April 2016.

Publications and other outputs

2014

e3h5_Final report_en RCT Empathy Issued in 2016
RCT/EMPATHY 2016

Page 34 of 56

All Rights Reserved,

Title	# of copies in Georgian	# of copies in English	Total # of copies	# of pages A4	Status of Implementation
1. Training Manual for Care Givers (Several Presentations and materials)	50	Electronic version	50	30	Distributed during the training
2. Draft Strategy of Re – socialization and rehabilitation of offenders in Georgia	50	Electronic version	50	30	Elaborated
3. Outcomes of Study Program with recommendations	50	Electronic version	50	30	Is Ready
4. Istanbul Protocol (Georgian, re – printed)	100		100	50	Distributed During the training N 43
5. Manual on International Instruments and Mechanisms for the Fight Against Torture (IRCT) (Georgian – re – printed)	50		100	50	Distributed During the training N 43
10. Information leaflets and Posters on the Programme	500	500	1000	3	Posters Published
11. Articles in different specialized local and international journals					Is under elaboration and will be presented in 2016 in Madrid at the EPA Congress
12. Video and photo materials about the project					Existed in Empathy
13. Materials resulted due to participation of the project staff in international events, like World Congress of Psychiatry etc					Was presented in 2015 in Madrid on World Congress of Psychiatry , section's meeting on Torture Consequences and persecution
14 .Art Work					2 sale – exhibitions on June 26 – project Presentation in 2014 and during the training sessions of the Empathy conducted
TOTAL			1450	163	



Training at the MoJ Training Centre, November 2014

R 4 Title: The recommendations or/and State strategy for re – socialization of offenders elaborated and advocacy campaign for incorporation held, part of the strategy incorporated in the State Strategy and presented during the competition launched by the MoJ CPC in May 2016, namely the RCt/EMPATHY's model programme on Crisis Intervention.

Indicator 1 target value:

Indicator 1 for R4 : Elaborated State Strategy of Rehabilitation and Re – socialization of offenders

Source for indicator 1 of R4 – Published and submitted for review State Strategy

Indicator 2 for R4 : Progress on Implementation (changes in legal framework, elaborated action plan with budget)

Target value: Number of in – house workshops for elaboration of State Strategy and taken steps for implementation (conducted meetings) , reviewed drafts, updates, developed action plan and budget etc

Activity 1, 2, 3 were implemented for achievement of this result. At this stage the State strategy is under publication and the main topic related to the crisis intervention with individual calculation included in state strategy that as the competition part was published by the MoJ in May 2016. R 5: T

n 189 persons from the direct target group received multi type rehabilitation that increased their capacity for integration and re – socialization;

Indicator 1 for R5: About 189 persons from target group received adequate multi type services

Source for indicator 1 of R5 – Filled medical files and psychological tests

Indicator 2 for R5: Outcomes of rehabilitation services

Source for indicator 2 of R5 – Outcomes of Research program on effectiveness of services with all intake and follow up questionnaires

Following outputs from the study programm clearly shows effectiveness of services:

Assessment of effectiveness of interventions:


68.Achievements on Health Condition					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no	49	29.0	29.0	29.0
	Recovery of somatic or/and neurological, or/and mental disorder	3	1.8	1.8	30.8
	Stabile Improvement of Health Condition, client knows how to manage chronic disorder, requires time to time check up of health condition in outpatient clinic or/and in mental outpatient service	113	66.9	66.9	97.6
	No improvement observed	4	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	169	100.0	100.0	

For assessment of effectiveness of treatment the re – evaluation was provided using the medical re – assessment with clinical and para – clinical methods in cases of long term rehabilitation. As it shown in the table 10 in 113 cases 67 % of total 169 improvement observed and should be mentioned that due to the chronic character of diseases this condition required time to time intervention and continuation of outpatient services, recovery observed in 3 cases and in 49 the improvement was observed just according to the clients information, re – assessment is missing due to the not accomplishment of the re – assessment procedures by the client that was related mainly to the clients with short term intervention.

Chart below and table 11.1 shows that in most of long term rehabilitation course duration was 3 months in about 73 % of cases, in 23 % of cases it was under 6 months or 6 months and in 3 % of cases it was about 1 year.

11.1.Duration of course

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	< 3 month or 3 month	88	73.33	73.33333	73.33333
	< 6 month or 6 month	28	23.33	23.33333	23.33333
	< 12 month or 12 month	4	3.33	3.33333	3.33333
	Total	120	100.00	100	100
Missing	System	0	0		
	Total	120	100		




Assessment of psychological treatment and mental health services:

Psychological testing were provided in total number of 120 cases among total 120 persons undergoing the long term rehabilitation course. Following test battery were used for assessment of effectiveness of treatment: Harvard Trauma Questionnaire (Mollica et al), Watson et al PTSD test, Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Hamilton Depression Rating Scale and Sheehan Anxiety rating Scale.

Follow up assessment and in – take assessment dates clearly shows effectiveness of treatment. Re – assessment has been provided in 120 cases with PTSD and revealed symptoms of anxiety and depression.

Charts below clearly shows relative analysis of the testing and re – testing scores that shows significant improvement of the mental and psychological health condition among beneficiaries underwent long term rehabilitation course.



For assessment of improvement of quality of life after the treatment the CVT (USA) Quality Life Questionnaires was used that was adopted by the RCT/EMPATHY's experts. For statistical analysis Wilcoxon non – parametric test was used. Below are dates that clearly shows improvement in many parameters, such is employment, access to the social services, improvement of social and legal; status, integration in community and employment.

The Wilcoxon signed-rank test is the nonparametric test equivalent to the [dependent](#) t-test.

The Ranks table provides some interesting data on the comparison of QOL questionnaire at time 1 (Testing) and time 2 (Retesting after 3 months).

Negative Ranks - Positive improvement

Positive Ranks - Worsening

Ties - no change in their score

We can see from the table's legend that **from** time 1 (median = 18) to time 2 (median = 28) some of questions have very Strong improvement. In the levels of testing and retesting after 3 months **Z** - value moves between 0.000^b - -3.805 , $p < 0.001$, and the increase was large ($r = -.63$). The test value z is approximately normally distributed for large samples that are $n > 10$, so that $p = 0.001$.

All the questions shows individual result (Follow the contents of questions) We can say that according to scores Z and r there are performance improved indicators in after treatment condition.

Ranks

		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
1. Housing Needs (Do you have housing that is stable and safe?) - 1. Housing Needs (Do you have housing that is stable and safe?)	Negative Ranks	10 ^a	12.90	129.00
	Positive Ranks	24 ^b	19.42	466.00
	Ties	53 ^c		
	Total	87		
2. Basic Food Needs (Do you have enough food to eat? How do you meet this need?) - 2. Basic Food Needs (Do you have enough food to eat? How do you meet this need?)	Negative Ranks	3 ^d	20.17	60.50
	Positive Ranks	38 ^e	21.07	800.50
	Ties	46 ^f		
	Total	87		
3. Medical Access (Are you able to get medical care if you need it?) - 3. Medical Access (Are you able to get medical care if you need it?)	Negative Ranks	4 ^g	4.00	16.00
	Positive Ranks	14 ^h	11.07	155.00
	Ties	69 ⁱ		
	Total	87		
4. Clothing (Do you have the clothing you need?) - 4. Clothing (Do you have the clothing you need?)	Negative Ranks	5 ^j	8.50	42.50
	Positive Ranks	26 ^k	17.44	453.50
	Ties	56 ^l		
	Total	87		
5. Transportation (excluding transportation to/from clinic; How do you get where you need to go?) - 5. Transportation (excluding transportation to/from clinic; How do you get where you need to go?)	Negative Ranks	6 ^m	18.50	111.00
	Positive Ranks	31 ⁿ	19.10	592.00
	Ties	50 ^o		
	Total	87		
6. Legal Safety (Where are you in the process of attaining immigration status in Georgia?) - 6. Legal Safety (Where are you in the process of attaining immigration status in the Georgia?)	Negative Ranks	1 ^p	1.50	1.50
	Positive Ranks	1 ^q	1.50	1.50
	Ties	85 ^r		
	Total	87		

Test Statistics^c

	1. Housing Needs (Do you have housing that is stable and safe?) - 1. Housing Needs (Do you have housing that is stable and safe?)	2. Basic Food Needs (Do you have enough food to eat? How do you meet this need?) - 2. Basic Food Needs (Do you have enough food to eat? How do you meet this need?)	3. Medical Access (Are you able to get medical care if you need it?) - 3. Medical Access (Are you able to get medical care if you need it?)	4. Clothing (Do you have the clothing you need?) - 4. Clothing (Do you have the clothing you need?)	5. Transportation (excluding transportation to/from clinic; How do you get where you need to go?) - 5. Transportation (excluding transportation to/from clinic; How do you get where you need to go?)	6. Legal Safety (Where are you in the process of attaining immigration status in Georgia?) - 6. Legal Safety (Where are you in the process of attaining immigration status in the Georgia?)
Z	-2.923 ^a	-4.829 ^a	-3.092 ^a	-4.057 ^a	-3.710 ^a	.000 ^b
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.003	.000	.002	.000	.000	1.000

a. Based on negative ranks.

b. The sum of negative ranks equals the sum of positive ranks.

c. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

Ranks

		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
6b. Legal Status (check all that apply) - 6b. Legal Status (check all that apply)	Negative Ranks	2 ^a	1.75	3.50
	Positive Ranks	3 ^b	3.83	11.50
	Ties	73 ^c		
	Total	78		
6b.1 Legal Status (check all that apply) - 6b.1 Legal Status (check all that apply)	Negative Ranks	0 ^d	.00	.00
	Positive Ranks	0 ^e	.00	.00
	Ties	2 ^f		
	Total	2		
7. Capability to meet basic needs (food, shelter, clothing,	Negative Ranks	10 ^g	14.65	146.50
	Positive Ranks	32 ^h	23.64	756.50

transportation) - 7. Capability to meet basic needs (food, shelter, clothing, transportation)	Ties	45 ⁱ		
	Total	87		
8. Skilled at obtaining basic needs through community social services, religious organizations, friends, etc. -	Negative Ranks	8 ^j	14.00	112.00
	Positive Ranks	22 ^k	16.05	353.00
	Ties	57 ^l		
8. Skilled at obtaining basic needs through community social services, religious organizations, friends, etc.	Total	87		
9. Georgian Proficiency (How proficient are you in speaking Georgian?) - 9.	Negative Ranks	0 ^m	.00	.00
	Positive Ranks	1 ⁿ	1.00	1.00
	Ties	86 ^o		
Georgian Proficiency (How proficient are you in speaking Georgian?)	Total	87		
10. Seeking Georgian Proficiency (What effort, if any, are you making at this time to learn more Georgian?) - 10. Seeking Georgian Proficiency (What effort, if any, are you making at this time to learn more Georgian?)	Negative Ranks	0 ^p	.00	.00
	Positive Ranks	2 ^q	1.50	3.00
	Ties	85 ^r		
	Total	87		
11. Seeking or involved with educational opportunities (other than Georgian language learning) - 11. Seeking or involved with educational opportunities (other than Georgian language learning)	Negative Ranks	11 ^s	13.00	143.00
	Positive Ranks	11 ^t	10.00	110.00
	Ties	65 ^u		
	Total	87		
12. Employment Status (How would you describe your employment status at this	Negative Ranks	1 ^v	3.00	3.00
	Positive Ranks	10 ^w	6.30	63.00
	Ties	76 ^x		

time?) - 12. Employment Status (How would you describe your employment status at this time?)	Total	87		
--	-------	----	--	--

Test Statistics^d

				8. Skilled at obtaining basic needs through community social services, religious organizations, friends, etc. - 8. Skilled at obtaining basic needs through community social services, religious organizations, friends, etc.		10. Seeking Georgian Proficiency (What effort, if any, are you making at this time to learn more Georgian?) - 10. Seeking Georgian Proficiency (What effort, if any, are you making at this time to learn more Georgian?)	11. Seeking or involved with educational opportunities (other than language learning) - 11. Seeking or involved with educational opportunities (other than language learning)	12. Employment Status (How would you describe your employment status at this time?) - 12. Employment Status (How would you describe your employment status at this time?)
6b. Legal Status (check all that apply) - 6b. Legal Status (check all that apply)	6b.1 Legal Status (check all that apply) - 6b.1 Legal Status (check all that apply)	7. Capability to meet basic needs (food, shelter, clothing, transportation) - 7. Capability to meet basic needs (food, shelter, clothing, transportation)	7. Capability to meet basic needs (food, shelter, clothing, transportation) - 7. Capability to meet basic needs (food, shelter, clothing, transportation)	7. Capability to meet basic needs (food, shelter, clothing, transportation) - 7. Capability to meet basic needs (food, shelter, clothing, transportation)	9. Georgian Proficiency (How proficient are you in speaking Georgian?) - 9. Georgian Proficiency (How proficient are you in speaking Georgian?)	10. Seeking Georgian Proficiency (What effort, if any, are you making at this time to learn more Georgian?) - 10. Seeking Georgian Proficiency (What effort, if any, are you making at this time to learn more Georgian?)	11. Seeking or involved with educational opportunities (other than language learning) - 11. Seeking or involved with educational opportunities (other than language learning)	12. Employment Status (How would you describe your employment status at this time?) - 12. Employment Status (How would you describe your employment status at this time?)

Z	-1.089 ^a	.000 ^b	-3.919 ^a	-2.588 ^a	-1.000 ^a	-1.414 ^a	-.541 ^c	-2.722 ^a
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.276	1.000	.000	.010	.317	.157	.589	.006

a. Based on negative ranks.

b. The sum of negative ranks equals the sum of positive ranks.

c. Based on positive ranks.

d. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

Ranks		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
13. If the client is employed, how many hours does he/she work in a typical week? (single number only; 0 for zero hours) - 13. If the client is employed, how many hours does he/she work in a typical week? (single number only; 0 for zero hours)	Negative Ranks	2 ^a	5.75	11.50
	Positive Ranks	9 ^b	6.06	54.50
	Ties	76 ^c		
	Total	87		
14. How many jobs does the client have? (Number only; 0 for zero jobs) - 14. How many jobs does the client have? (Number only; 0 for zero jobs)	Negative Ranks	1 ^d	4.50	4.50
	Positive Ranks	7 ^e	4.50	31.50
	Ties	79 ^f		
	Total	87		
15. If the client has at least one job, how many would be considered full-time? (single number only; 0 for zero full-time jobs) - 15. If the client has at least one job, how many would be considered full-time? (single number only; 0 for zero full-time jobs)	Negative Ranks	2 ^g	4.50	9.00
	Positive Ranks	6 ^h	4.50	27.00
	Ties	79 ⁱ		
	Total	87		
16. Employment Status - Stability (How would you describe your job/s?) - 16. Employment Status - Stability (How would you describe your job/s?)	Negative Ranks	1 ^j	5.50	5.50
	Positive Ranks	7 ^k	4.36	30.50
	Ties	79 ^l		
	Total	87		
17. Regularly attends mosque, church, or other communal religious services - 17. Regularly attends mosque, church, or other communal religious services	Negative Ranks	6 ^m	9.17	55.00
	Positive Ranks	23 ⁿ	16.52	380.00
	Ties	58 ^o		
	Total	87		
18. Identifies faith in a higher	Negative Ranks	3 ^p	9.00	27.00

power (e. g. god etc.) as a source of strength (How would you describe your faith in a higher power?) 18. Identifies faith in a higher power (e. g. god etc.) as a source of strength (How would you describe your faith in a higher power?)	Positive Ranks	18 ^q	11.33	204.00
	Ties	66 ^r		
	Total	87		
	-			
19. Engages in individual spiritual beliefs, rituals, or practices (How often do engage in the rituals and practices related to your spiritual beliefs?) - 19. Engages in individual spiritual beliefs, rituals, or practices (How often do engage in the rituals and practices related to your spiritual beliefs?)	Negative Ranks	7 ^s	10.86	76.00
	Positive Ranks	25 ^t	18.08	452.00
	Ties	53 ^u		
	Total	85		
20. Expresses interest in learning about host culture's food, rituals, norms, etc. (How would you describe your interest in learning about host culture - for example, food, traditions, and values?) - 20. Expresses interest in learning about host culture's food, rituals, norms, etc. (How would you describe your interest in learning about host culture - for example, food, traditions, and values?)	Negative Ranks	0 ^v	.00	.00
	Positive Ranks	0 ^w	.00	.00
	Ties	12 ^x		
	Total	12		

Test Statistics^c

	13. If the client is employed, how many hours does he/she work in a typical week? (single number only; 0 for zero hours) - 13. If the client is employed, how many hours does he/she work in a typical week? (single number only; 0 for zero hours)	14. How many jobs does the client have? (Number only; 0 for zero jobs) - 14. How many jobs does the client have? (Number only; 0 for zero jobs)	15. If the client has at least one job, how many would be considered full-time? (single number only; 0 for zero full-time jobs) - 15. If the client has at least one job, how many would be considered full-time? (single number only; 0 for zero full-time jobs)	16. Employment Status - Stability (How would you describe your job/s?) - 16. Employment Status - Stability (How would you describe your job/s?)	17. Regularly attends mosque, church, or other communal religious services - 17. Regularly attends mosque, church, or other communal religious services	18. Identifies faith in a higher power (e. g. god etc.) as a source of strength (How would you describe your faith in a higher power?) - 18. Identifies faith in a higher power (e. g. god etc.) as a source of strength (How would you describe your faith in a higher power?)	19. Engages in individual spiritual beliefs, rituals, or practices (How often do you engage in the rituals and practices related to your spiritual beliefs?) - 19. Engages in individual spiritual beliefs, rituals, or practices (How often do you engage in the rituals and practices related to your spiritual beliefs?)	20. Expresses interest in learning about host culture's food, rituals, norms, etc. (How would you describe your interest in learning about host culture - for example, food, traditions, and values?) - 20. Expresses interest in learning about host culture's food, rituals, norms, etc. (How would you describe your interest in learning about host culture - for example, food, traditions, and values?)
Z	-1.966 ^a	-2.121 ^a	-1.414 ^a	-1.833 ^a	-3.562 ^a	-3.288 ^a	-3.570 ^a	.000 ^b
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.049	.034	.157	.067	.000	.001	.000	1.000

a. Based on negative ranks.

b. The sum of negative ranks equals the sum of positive ranks.

c. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

		Ranks		
		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
21. Navigate social service agencies/programs (Are there any other non-Empathy social service agencies who help you?) - 21. Navigate social service agencies/programs (Are there any other non-Empathy social service agencies who help you?)	Negative Ranks	10 ^a	8.75	87.50
	Positive Ranks	9 ^b	11.39	102.50
	Ties	67 ^c		
	Total	86		
22. Knowledge about the greater community (i.e., city, region, nation) through regular activities or discussion (e.g., current events, popular culture, and/or exploring the surrounding local area) - 22. Knowledge about the greater community (i.e., city, region, nation) through regular activities or discussion (e.g., current events, popular culture, and/or exploring the surrounding local area)	Negative Ranks	8 ^d	13.00	104.00
	Positive Ranks	28 ^e	20.07	562.00
	Ties	51 ^f		
	Total	87		
23. Engages in leisure activities or hobbies for personal enjoyment (What do you do for fun and relaxation?) - 23. Engages in leisure activities or hobbies for personal enjoyment (What do you do for fun and relaxation?)	Negative Ranks	9 ^g	14.67	132.00
	Positive Ranks	31 ^h	22.19	688.00
	Ties	47 ⁱ		
	Total	87		
24. Engages in broader local community activities and	Negative Ranks	5 ^j	6.80	34.00
	Positive Ranks	12 ^k	9.92	119.00

events (How often do you engage in community activities such as movies, shopping, public events, gym membership, library outings, museums, etc.?) - 24.	Ties	70 ^l		
	Total	87		
Engages in broader local community activities and events (How often do you engage in community activities such as movies, shopping, public events, gym membership, library outings, museums, etc.?)				
25. Non-family social connections (How would you describe your current friendships, those people other than family members with whom you spend time?) - 25. Non-family social connections (How would you describe your current friendships, those people other than family members with whom you spend time?)	Negative Ranks	9 ^m	11.28	101.50
	Positive Ranks	17 ⁿ	14.68	249.50
	Ties	61 ^o		
	Total	87		
26. Communications with family members - 26. Communications with family members				
	Negative Ranks	8 ^p	5.81	46.50
	Positive Ranks	3 ^q	6.50	19.50
	Ties	76 ^r		
	Total	87		

Test Statistics^c

	21. Navigate social service agencies/programs (Are there any other non-Empathy social service agencies who help you?) - 21. Navigate social service agencies/programs (Are there any other non-Empathy social service agencies who help you?)	22. Knowledge about the greater community (i.e., city, region, nation) through regular activities or discussion (e.g., current events, popular culture, and/or exploring the surrounding local area) - 22. Knowledge about the greater community (i.e., city, region, nation) through regular activities or discussion (e.g., current events, popular culture, and/or exploring the surrounding local area)	23. Engages in leisure activities or hobbies for personal enjoyment (What do you do for fun and relaxation?) - 23. Engages in leisure activities or hobbies for personal enjoyment (What do you do for fun and relaxation?)	24. Engages in broader local community activities and events (How often do you engage in community activities such as movies, shopping, public events, gym membership, library outings, museums, etc.?) - 24. Engages in broader local community activities and events (How often do you engage in community activities such as movies, shopping, public events, gym membership, library outings, museums, etc.?)	25. Non-family social connections (How would you describe your current friendships, those people other than family members with whom you spend time?) - 25. Non-family social connections (How would you describe your current friendships, those people other than family members with whom you spend time?)	26. Communications with family members - 26. Communications with family members
Z	-.305 ^a	-3.687 ^a	-3.811 ^a	-2.124 ^a	-1.924 ^a	-1.218 ^b
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.761	.000	.000	.034	.054	.223

a. Based on negative ranks.

b. Based on positive ranks.

c. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

Ranks			
	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks

27. Connections to other members of one's family (from client's perspective) - 27. Connections to other members of one's family (from client's perspective)	Negative Ranks	3 ^a	5.50	16.50
	Positive Ranks	5 ^b	3.90	19.50
	Ties	79 ^c		
	Total	87		
28. Re-unification with family members - 28. Re-unification with family members	Negative Ranks	6 ^d	7.50	45.00
	Positive Ranks	6 ^e	5.50	33.00
	Ties	75 ^f		
	Total	87		
29. Volunteer Activities (Are you currently involved in any volunteer activities?) - 29. Volunteer Activities (Are you currently involved in any volunteer activities?)	Negative Ranks	2 ^g	1.50	3.00
	Positive Ranks	2 ^h	3.50	7.00
	Ties	82 ⁱ		
	Total	86		
30. In a typical month, how many hours does the client volunteer? (single number only; 0 for zero hours) - 30. In a typical month, how many hours does the client volunteer? (single number only; 0 for zero hours)	Negative Ranks	1 ^j	1.00	1.00
	Positive Ranks	2 ^k	2.50	5.00
	Ties	84 ^l		
	Total	87		
31. What are the volunteer activities (please list) - 31. What are the volunteer activities (please list)	Negative Ranks	0 ^m	.00	.00
	Positive Ranks	0 ⁿ	.00	.00
	Ties	9 ^o		
	Total	9		
33. Engagement with others of his/her ethnic group (Do you spend time with others)	Negative Ranks	1 ^p	23.00	23.00
	Positive Ranks	82 ^q	42.23	3463.00
	Ties	4 ^r		

from your own ethnic group?) - 32. Involved in meetings, gatherings, or demonstrations concerning political or community issues (Do you attend gatherings concerning political or community issues?)			
---	--	--	--

Test Statistics^d

	27. Connections to other members of one's family (from client's perspective) - 27. Connections to other members of one's family (from client's perspective)	28. Re-unification with family members - 28. Re-unification with family members	29. Volunteer Activities (Are you currently involved in any volunteer activities?) - 29. Volunteer Activities (Are you currently involved in any volunteer activities?)	30. In a typical month, how many hours does the client volunteer? (single number only; 0 for zero hours) - 30. In a typical month, how many hours does the client volunteer? (single number only; 0 for zero hours)	31. What are the volunteer activities (please list) - 31. What are the volunteer activities (please list)	33. Engagement with others of his/her ethnic group (Do you spend time with others from your own ethnic group?) - 32. Involved in meetings, gatherings, or demonstrations concerning political or community issues (Do you attend gatherings concerning political or community issues?)
Z	-.213 ^a	-.504 ^b	-.743 ^a	-1.089 ^a	.000 ^c	-8.084 ^a
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.831	.614	.458	.276	1.000	.000

a. Based on negative ranks.

b. Based on positive ranks.

c. The sum of negative ranks equals the sum of positive ranks.

d. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

		Ranks		
		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
34. Trust of others (How do you describe your trust of others?) - 34. Trust of others (How do you describe your trust of others?)	Negative Ranks	22 ^a	22.00	484.00
	Positive Ranks	21 ^b	22.00	462.00
	Ties	44 ^c		
	Total	87		
35. Participates in community social events (Do you participate in community events such as weddings, funerals, birthdays, festivals, etc.?) - 35. Participates in community social events (Do you participate in community events such as weddings, funerals, birthdays, festivals, etc.?)	Negative Ranks	11 ^d	17.00	187.00
	Positive Ranks	20 ^e	15.45	309.00
	Ties	56 ^f		
	Total	87		
36. Participates in outings or other social activities with others from the community (How regularly do you engage in social activities with others from your community?) - 36. Participates in outings or other social activities with others from the community (How regularly do you engage in social activities with others from your community?)	Negative Ranks	15 ^g	19.03	285.50
	Positive Ranks	31 ^h	25.66	795.50
	Ties	41 ⁱ		
	Total	87		

Test Statistics^c

		35. Participates in community social events (Do you participate in community events such as weddings, funerals, birthdays, festivals, etc.?) - 35. Participates in community social events (Do you participate in community events such as weddings, funerals, birthdays, festivals, etc.?)	
	34. Trust of others (How do you describe your trust of others?) - 34. Trust of others (How do you describe your trust of others?)		36. Participates in outings or other social activities with others from the community (How regularly do you engage in social activities with others from your community?) - 36. Participates in outings or other social activities with others from the community (How regularly do you engage in social activities with others from your community?)
Z	-.139 ^a	-1.278 ^b	-2.89
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.889	.201	.00

a. Based on positive ranks.

b. Based on negative ranks.

c. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

2.3. If relevant, submit a revised logframe, highlighting the changes.

Please list all contracts (works, supplies, services) above €60 000 awarded for the implementation of the action during the reporting period, giving for each contract the amount, the award procedure followed and the name of the contractor.

2.4. Please provide an updated action plan ²

² This plan will cover the financial period between the interim report and the next report.

3. Beneficiaries/affiliated entities and other Cooperation

- 3.1. How do you assess the relationship between the Beneficiaries/affiliated entities of this grant contract (i.e. those having signed the mandate for the Coordinator or the affiliated entity statement)? Please provide specific information for each Beneficiary/affiliated entity.

No affiliated entities exist in this project.

- 3.2. **How would you assess the relationship between your organisation and State authorities in the Action countries? How has this relationship affected the Action?**

It should be mentioned that the RCT/EMPATHY has close collaboration with MoJ Crime Prevention Centre (LEPL) during the implementation of this action. About 70 % of beneficiaries referred to the EMPATHY from this agency, but in the same time it also should be mentioned that problems existed in collaboration with MoC, the draft of memorandum elaborated by the MoC and procedures of submission of dates to the Prison Department was unacceptable by the RCT/EMPATHY due to the violation of international and national legal regulations on Medical Ethics, namely was violated the confidentiality and civilian approach on the health care in prison. The Public Defender of Georgia is clearly non – independent from political influence of ruling party that reflected to the NPM activities, by this reason the Empathy's experts lefted the NPM and practically has no any collaboration with this agency.

- 3.3. Where applicable, describe your relationship with any other organisations involved in implementing the Action:

- **Associate(s) (if any)**

Associated partners in the project are the LEPL – Crime Prevention Centre of the MoJ and CVT (USA); both associated partners actively are involved in the implementation of the action and also participated in the planning and implementation of the training and research component of the project.

- **Contractor(s) (if any):** The RCT/EMPATHY have about 24 sub – contractor health centres that involved in the implementation of the medical referrals project.

- **Final Beneficiaries and Target groups:** Main target group for this action were former prisoners, especially those who were released from prison in years 2013 – 2016. 189 of them received several kinds of assistance from the Empathy and 66 persons are in waiting list as well. 30 % of beneficiaries of the Empathy applied with support of direct target groups that share their experience of collaboration with Empathy. In 60 cases feed – back questionnaire were filled after the discharged that clearly shows success of collaboration with Empathy and readiness of prolongation of the collaboration.

- **Other third parties involved (including other donors, other government agencies or local government units, NGOs, etc.):** Increased number of training beneficiaries clearly shows high interest to the RCT/EMPATHY's training modules; NGOs operating in Gori and Batumi in the field of re – socialization of the offenders have close collaboration with Empathy and ready to continue collaboration and networking in the future projects as well. The students of medical university also were involved in the training program and express their interest to the volunteer work in the project.

- 3.4. **Where applicable, outline any links and synergies you have developed with other actions.**

The RCT/EMPATHY has collaboration with NGOs working in the field, especially in the regions.

- 3.5. **If your organisation has received previous EU grants in view of strengthening the same target group, in how far has this Action been able to build upon/complement the previous one(s)? (List all previous relevant EU grants).**

Currently RCT/EMPATHY implements the regional project aiming to build Caucasian Anti – Torture Network and Fight Impunity. The action reported in this report could be reduplicated in the other target Countries and regions where the Empathy operates. The sharing process was started in year 2016. All actions will be finished in August 1, 2016.

4. Visibility

How is the visibility of the EU contribution being ensured in the Action?

Visibility guidelines were followed during the presentation of the project, as well as during the publications, PR actions and training programs.

The European Commission may wish to publicise the results of Actions. Do you have any objection to this report being published on the EuropeAid website? If so, please state your objections here.

We have not any objection against publication of results.

Name of the contact person for the Action:

Mariam Jishkariani

Signature:

Location: ...Tbilisi

Date report due: July 06, 2016

Date report sent: July 07, 2016